

JavaScript Method Modification

Aspect Oriented Function Composition

webtechconf - Munich, October 30th 2013 - [Peter Seliger](#) / [@petsel](#)

Frontend Engineer at [XING AG](#)



Agenda

- Method Modification/Modifiers - Why?
- Aspect Oriented Programming (AOP) in JavaScript - Why?
- Basic Method Modifiers vs True Aspect Oriented Systems.
- Questions / Live Demo
- Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature.
- Joinpoint, Pointcut, Advice and Aspect from a JavaScript Point of View.
- Additional Features that could be provided.
- API of an already operating AO System (sneak preview).

Method Modification/Modifiers

Method Modification/Modifiers - Why?

- There are cases where one does not own the code of a method that's functionality has to be modified ...
- e.g. enriching an existing implementation with additional behavior and influencing the control flow of this new *function composition*.
- This is the point one is in need of a set of basic AOP inspired method modifiers like
 - `Function.prototype[before | after | around]`
- Also if one uses **Function Based Object/Type Composition** patterns like **Traits and Mixins** ...
- with implementations that introduce competing methods,
- *resolving conflicts* can be handled easier by such modifiers.

Method Modification/Modifiers - Why?

example - enriching an existing implementation with additional behavior and influencing its control flow.

```
var requestBasketUpdate = function (evt, onSuccess) {  
  
    var  
        control    = evt.target,  
        form       = control.form  
    ;  
    showMiniBasket();  
  
    LightboxController.switchOnLoadingState();  
    $.ajax({  
  
        cache      : false,  
        url        : form.action,  
        type       : form.method.toUpperCase(),  
        data       : $(form).serialize(),  
        dataType   : "text",  
  
        success    : onSuccess.before(  

```

Method Modification/Modifiers

Function.prototype.before

```
Function.prototype.before = function (behaviorBefore, target) {  
  var proceedAfter = this;  
  
  return function () {  
    var args = arguments;  
  
    behaviorBefore.apply(target, args);  
    return proceedAfter.apply(target, args);  
  };  
};
```

```
var hi = function () {console.log("hi");};  
var ho = function () {console.log("ho");};
```

```
hi(); // "hi"  
ho(); // "ho"
```

Method Modification/Modifiers

Function.prototype.after

```
Function.prototype.after = function (behaviorAfter, target) {  
  var proceedBefore = this;  
  
  return function () {  
    var args = arguments;  
  
    proceedBefore.apply(target, args);  
    return behaviorAfter.apply(target, args);  
  };  
};
```

```
var he = function () {console.log("he");};
```

```
he(); // "he"
```

```
ho(); // "ho"
```


Method Modification/Modifiers

Function.prototype.around

```
Function.prototype.around = function (behaviorAround, target) {  
  var proceedEnclosed = this;  
  
  return function () {  
    return behaviorAround.call(target, proceedEnclosed,  
      behaviorAround, arguments, target);  
  };  
};  
  
var hehiho = hi.around(function (proceed, around, args, target) {  
  
  he();  
  proceed();  
  ho();  
});
```

Aspect Oriented Programming in JavaScript

Aspect Oriented Programming (AOP) in JavaScript - Why?

Aspect Oriented Programming (AOP) in JavaScript - Why?

Basic Modifiers vs True AO Systems

- Pure Method Modifying ...
- relies on direct access to every possible modifiable method.
- needs to be done explicitly for every identified method .
- lacks abstraction for the 2 last mentioned shortcomings.
 - Thus making modularized code reuse of additionally to be wrapped behavior not that handy.
- is an appealing approach for less complex tasks.
- should be seen as pre-stage for AO Systems that have to provide both abstraction and support for better code reuse.

Questions?

Live Demo

- open twitter.com
- open dom inspector/console
- make sure that popups are allowed
- throw the code that has been linked beneath onto the console
- play with the given example as advised in the bottom most comments
- gist: [ao module dependencies](#) (raw)
- gist: [proof of concept - example: log twitter api activities](#)
- [modification.ao](#) - JavaScript implementation of an AO System

Aspect Oriented Programming in JavaScript

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

- runtime based only and not using any kind of JavaScript "transpilers" or JavaScript build tools for "code weaving" as in e.g. AspectJ.
- thus being forced focusing on what ES3 language core does provide.

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

- implementation of prototypal method modifiers e.g. `around`, `before`, `after`, `afterThrowing`, `afterReturning`, as kind of a minimal AOP influenced base set that already supports library (framework) agnostic modification of function based control flow by just wrapping additional behaviors (advice handlers) around existing methods(functions).
- clarify role of `Joinpoint`, `Pointcut`, `Advice` and `Aspect`; especially from this point of view of what makes them distinct from existing approaches in compiled and/or non dynamic and/or non functional programming languages.

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

example code (will be explained)

```
// [VariationsController] depended method modification
SubmitController.isAnyToSubmit = SubmitController.isAnyToSubmit
    .around(function (isAnyToSubmit, interceptor, args, target) {

    var evt = args[0];
    evt.isColorChanged = true;

    return isAnyToSubmit.call(target, evt); // proceed
})
;

// [SubmitController] specific functionality
SubmitController.isAnyToSubmit = function (evt) {
    var isChecked =
        evt.isColorChanged
        || sizeRadioInputs.toArray().some(function (elm/*, idx, arr*/) {

            return elm.checked;
        });
}
```


Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

Joinpoint

- A `Joinpoint` in JavaScript always needs to feature both a method that is bound to an object and this very object itself (regardless of either this couple is locally scoped or not). One might even think about a label that optionally gets assigned to a joinpoint.
- Thus a joinpoint will be constructed at least from a method's name and this method's target object.

```
/*var jpIsAnyToSubmit = */ao.Joinpoint.add({  
  target      : SubmitController  
  methodName  : "isAnyToSubmit",  
  //label     : "controllers.SubmitController.isAnyToSubmit",  
});
```

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

Pointcut

- A `Pointcut` in JavaScript always should be able to return a *collection of joinpoints* that are filtered according to certain criteria.
- Thus a pointcut explicitly will be constructed from its filter method.

```
var pcIsAnyToSubmit = ao.Pointcut.add({
  //id      : "isAnyToSubmit", // if omitted UUID will be generated
  filter   : function (jp) {
    return (jp.getMethodName() == "isAnyToSubmit");

    //return (jp.getLabel().indexOf("...") >= 0);
    //return (jp.getTarget() === ...);
  }
});
```

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

Advice

- An Advice in JavaScript always should feature both a method that defines behavior (or could be seen as advice handler) and a named qualifier or type.
- Thus an advice will be constructed from a qualifier and a method that gets associated with that qualifier.

```
var avColorChangedVariant = ao.Advice.add({
  //id      : "colorChangedVariant", // if omitted UUID will be generated
  type     : "around",
  handler  : function (proceed, handler, args, target/*, joinpoint*/) {
    var evt = args[0];
    evt.isColorChanged = true;
    return proceed.call(target, evt);
  }
});
```

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

Aspect

- An *Aspect* in JavaScript needs to feature just a sole function that enables folding of advices and pointcuts within it's function body.
- Thus an aspect has to be constructed from a callback function that's first argument is a method that links advices to pointcuts and that's second argument references the AO System itself.

```
var asColorChangedVariant = ao.Aspect.add({
  //id      : "colorChangedVariant", // if omitted UUID will be generated
  handler : function (linkAdviceToPointcut, ao) {
    linkAdviceToPointcut(avColorChangedVariant, pcIsAnyToSubmit);
  }
});
```

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to
JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

Additional Notes

- In order to take advantage of JavaScripts dynamic nature it should be allowed to alter the whole system's control flow at any time from any point e.g.
 - advices do alter the system's control flow just by calling one of every advices two methods either `confirm` or `deny`.
 - add or remove joinpoints, pointcuts, advices regardless of how many aspects are currently *confirmed* or *denied*.
 - switching the whole AO System off and on again.

Thoughts about how to adopt the Principles of AOP to JavaScripts Dynamic and Functional Nature

»modification.ao«

(just in order to get a glimpse of its API)

```
var ao = require("modification.ao") // aspect oriented system

// static properties

ao.Joinpoint // [Object] // module
ao.Pointcut // [Object] // module
ao.Advice // [Object] // module
ao.Aspect // [Object] // module

// static methods

ao.isOff // [Function]:true|false
ao.isOn // [Function]:true|false
ao.off // [Function]:void
ao.on // [Function]:void
ao.reboot // [Function]:void
```

Questions?
Thank You



PDF Handout